Evaluation of Danish Support to Civil Society

Annex E: Survey Methodology

March 2013







Introduction

In order to gather data from a broad range of Southern CSOs in receipt of Danida funding, we conducted an online survey (a copy is included at the end of this document). The methods, data collection and data analysis strategy underpinning the survey were as follows.

Population

The population for this survey was southern CSOs in receipt of Danida funding in 11 countries (Bangladesh, Bolivia, Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Kenya, Nepal, Somalia, Tanzania, Uganda, Yemen and Zimbabwe). These organisations receive their funding through a variety of mechanisms including:

- Danish NGOs including Framework NGOs, Programme NGOs and members of CISU
- Danish embassies in the selected countries
- Pooled (multi donor) funds in the selected countries
- Direct funding from Danida (from different departments)

All Danish NGOs, Danish embassies and Pooled Funds that receive Danish funding in these 11 countries, were asked to provide contact details for all the Southern CSOs they work with that receive Danish funding. We then sent the survey directly to these organisations.

An exception was 3F who sent details of partners in relevant countries, but not contact details and requested that they send the survey to their own partner organisations. Another exception was CISU who sent contact details for all partners of member organisations in the 11 countries but again requested that their member organisations be responsible for sending out the survey. The survey population therefore constitutes all Southern organisations that we received accurate contact details for plus the number of partners CISU and 3F sent the survey to. The population is therefore: n = 1,062 (+ CISU and 3F partners).

CISU had identified 102 organisations supported by their Danish members in the 11 countries and 3F asked to send the survey to eight organisations. However, it was clear from one query we received from a CISU partner organisation that the survey had also been emailed to other organisations on their mailing list, which were additional to the organisations contained in the list originally provided by CISU (in this example it was a very new partner that was included). While this should not affect the results, it does affect the population.

Another potential issue is that the quality and amount of information we received from the different embassies and pooled funds varied. It was also impossible to find a correct email contact for at least one pooled fund which was on the list. Therefore some Southern organisations who receive Danish funding may have been excluded from the survey because we either did not know of their existence or were unable to contact them.

Sample

The survey was sent to all organisations, minus the 12 organisations who participated in the pilot, therefore no sampling was done. The total sample size following the pilot was n = 1,050 (+ CISU and 3F partners).

Population	Pilot	Sample
n = 1,062 (+ CISU and 3F	n = 12	n = 1,050 (+ CISU and 3F
partners)	11 12	partners)

Survey design

The survey was designed online using Survey Monkey. While this limits the range of organisations able to complete the survey to those with email addresses, it was deemed necessary in order to process the number of responses expected. The survey was designed to give answers to key questions of the Evaluation Framework and to the expected mechanisms and outcomes identified by the Theory of Change.

The survey had five sections:

- 1. Identification questions (country, type of organisation, organisational income)
- 2. Questions evaluating funding delivered through Danish NGOs
- 3. Questions evaluating funding delivered through Danish embassies
- 4. Questions evaluating funding delivered through Pooled Funds
- 5. Questions evaluating Danida Civil Society Strategy (Strategic Goals 1 and 2)

All respondents were asked to complete Sections 1 and 5, and asked to only complete the relevant sections out of Sections 2, 3 and 4. As the survey was designed using Survey Monkey, this was achieved using logic questions. For example, at the beginning of Section 2 respondents were asked whether they receive finding from a Danish NGO. If they answered 'yes' they then be asked to complete Section 2 in full; if they answered 'no' the respondent would skip straight to Section 3.

The survey was available in three languages: English, French and Spanish. Translations were conducted using professional translators. Due to the limitations of the online provider used, three identical but separate surveys were designed, one in each language. Organisations received a link to the relevant survey depending on which country they were located in e.g. those in Burkina Faso received a link to the French versions of the survey and those in Bolivia received a link to the Spanish version of the survey.

Data Collection and analysis

A pilot was conducted prior to sending out the survey. The survey was piloted with 12 CSOs selected at random, of which five organisations responded, and was reviewed based on these responses. The 12 organisations included in the pilot were then removed from the list the final

survey was sent out to 1,050 organisations. CISU and 3F, who requested that they be able to send the survey to their own partner organisations, were then asked to forward the link to their partner organisations. As a result we do not know the total number of organisations that received links to the survey, which has some implications for calculating response rates (see below).

Of this number, two organisations requested that the interviews take place in Arabic. This was done over the phone using a translator. The data was then inputted into Survey Monkey alongside all the other responses.

The survey was sent out using five separate collectors: Framework NGOs, Programme NGOs, embassies, Pooled Funds and Direct Funding. CSOs were each assigned a collector depending on the primary category of funding they received e.g. those organisations that primarily receive funding from a Danish Framework NGO were placed in the Danish NGO collector. Each of these collectors used a different link, meaning we could monitor how many respondents replied from each category.

As mentioned previously, it was not possible to calculate an overall response rate for the survey as the overall sample size is unknown. This is because two programme NGOs (CISU and 3F) requested that they send the survey on to their partners, and although we had lists of the partners that should be receiving the questionnaire, it is clear from some of the subsequent queries that additional partners may have been included. Therefore we cannot be sure of the total number of which partners received the survey. We have the following data at the overall level:

Total sample	Total uncontactable	Total returned	Total usable
10tai sample	members of sample	questionnaires	questionnaires
$(1,050 + X^1)$	199	485	273

However we can calculate exact response rates for four of the five categories (Framework NGOs, embassies, Pooled Funds and Direct Funding). It is not possible to calculate a response rate for Programme NGOs.

Categories	Total sample minus uncontactable members	Total usable questionnaires	Response rate ²
Framework	133	48	36.09 %
NGO			
Embassies	115	37	32.17 %
Pooled Funds	429	101	23.54 %
Direct funding	7	2	28.57 %
Programme	(167 + X)	85	n/a
NGO			

-

 $^{^{1}}$ X = no. of individuals CISU and 3F forwarded survey to.

² Calculated as total usable questionnaires at Stage 2/(total sample minus uncontactable members).

The survey was closed on Tuesday 8th January 2012. Following the closure of the survey responses were cleaned using a two stage process:

Stage 1: Incomplete responses were deleted. Incomplete responses were defined as those that had just answered identity questions (Section 1) and not evaluative questions (Sections 2 - 5).

Stage 2: Inaccurate responses were deleted. Inaccurate responses were those responses where we can either prove or suspect that respondents entered data in the wrong place e.g. answered questions relating to Danish NGO funding when they should have answered questions relating to Pooled Funds. We used the following methodology to identify these responses:

Stage 2 Data Cleaning: Methodology

It was felt that it would be easier to find those responses that we knew were correct rather than those we either knew or suspected were incorrect. We used a multi-step process to identify these responses. At each step the correct responses identified were highlighted and given a code so that we could identify which step they were cleaned at. All responses that had not been given a code by Step 7 were deemed to be incorrect responses and were deleted. The steps used to identify correct responses were as follows:

Step 1: Answered 'no' to Q9, Q22 and Q34.

Step 2: Filter by Direct Funding and check (Where answered no to Q22 and yes to Q9 and/or Q34 and named NGO/ pooled fund.

Step 3: Filter by collector and select responses that answered 'yes' to relevant mechanism and 'no' to the other two mechanisms e.g. embassy collector, answered yes to Q22 and 'no' to Q9 and Q34.

Step 4: Filter by embassy and where said yes to Q22 and yes to Q9 and/or Q34 and named NGO/ Pooled Fund.

Step 5: Filter by NGO and where said yes to Q9 and no Q22 and yes to Q34 and named .Pooled Fund

Step 6: Filter by pooled fund and where said yes to Q34 and no to Q22 and yes to Q9 and named NGO

Number of usable questionnaires following each stage:

- Following Stage 1 we were left with **431** usable questionnaires.
- Following Stage 2 we were left with **273** usable questionnaires.

The survey collected a mix of qualitative and quantitative data. Data from sections 2 (Danish NGOs), section 3 (Danish embassies), and Section 4 (Pooled Funds) was extracted and compared using Microsoft Excel in order to compare the three separate mechanisms. Responses to qualitative and quantitative questions in Section 5 (on Danida Civil Society Strategy Strategic Goals 1 and 2) were disaggregated by collector (responses from Programme NGO and Framework NGO collectors were combined at this stage of the analysis). This enabled us to

compare and contrast mechanisms and make conclusions relating to the performance of each mechanism as well as overall.

Problems encountered

The primary problem encountered was that many organisations included in the survey did not know which mechanism they received their funding from. This meant that many respondents answered the wrong questions e.g. answered questions relating to Danish NGO funding when they should have answered questions relating to Pooled Funds because they were in the Pooled Funds collector. This meant that we had to delete a number of responses, which reduced the overall response rate of the survey.

The high scores achieved by embassies may have been biased by the high proportion of responses from Nepal and Uganda (37.3% of overall responses to the survey were from these two countries). However, actual response rates for these countries are comparable to overall response rate (the response rate for Nepal was 25% and the response rate for Uganda was 24.4%). This suggests that the higher proportion of responses from these countries is because they represent a larger proportion of the survey population, rather than more contact with the survey teams or more regular contact with Danida or the Danish embassies in these countries affecting the response rates within these countries as initially assumed. However, when data on embassies is disaggregated into responses from Nepal/Uganda and responses from other countries, it shows that results from Nepal/Uganda may be inflating overall scores for embassies quite significantly. This may be because of the high proportion of responses from these two countries and/or because embassies scored more highly in these two countries.

Strengths and limitations

Strengths:

- Strong mix of qualitative and quantitative data
- Good response rate spread across a range of countries and mechanisms
- Ability to compare results across funding mechanisms
- Captured views of Southern CSO in receipt of Danida funding
- Additional source of data, which allowed us to triangulate evidence gathered though case studies and interviews.

Limitations:

- As it is an online survey, only CSOs with an email address were included meaning views of those that don't have access to email are not reflected in the analysis.
- We were reliant on data provided by Danish NGOs, Danish embassies and Pooled Funds to establish the population. Therefore some Southern organisations who receive Danish funding may have been excluded from the survey because we either did not know of their existence or were unable to contact them because the data sent by the relevant Danish NGO, Danish embassy or Pooled Fund was incorrect.

- The number of CSOs the survey was sent to in different countries varies significantly. While this may reflect the different activities being funded in these countries, it may also be a product of the ability of different Danish embassies and Pooled Funds to provide us with data.
- A significant number of organisations did not know which mechanism they received their funding from, meaning that some respondents answered the wrong questions. Although this limitation has been mitigated through data cleaning and quality assurance, some caution is still required regarding comparative analysis of funding mechanisms.

INTRAC evaluation of Danish Support to Civil Society: Survey of

Overview

INTRAC (The International NGO Training and Research Centre) is carrying out a review of Danish Government (DANIDA) support to civil society on behalf of DANIDA and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs Denmark. This support is delivered through three funding mechanisms:

- Danish NGOs
- · Danish Embassies
- · Regional programmes and pooled or multi-donor funds

Your organisation has been selected to take part in this survey because it receives support from one of these funding mechanisms. It is important that civil society organisations in receipt of Danish Government funding are given the opportunity to participate in this review, and this survey is the primary means of supporting this.

The questionnaire will take approximately 30 minutes to complete. The survey contains 'closed' questions (in which you click on one of the choices provided beneath the question) and 'open' questions, where you will have space to provide your comments. The deadline for completion of this survey is 21st December 2012.

All responses will be treated as confidential. The data and comments you provide will be fed back into the review on

an a	anonymous basis.
You	ir organisation
1. I	n which country is your organisation located?
0	Bangladesh
0	Bolivia
0	Burkina Faso
0	Ethiopia
0	Kenya
0	Nepal
0	Somalia
0	Tanzania
0	Uganda
0	Yemen
0	Zimbabwe
2. V	When was your organisation established?
0	In the last 2 years
0	In the last 5 years
0	In the last 10 years
0	Over 10 years old

AC evaluation of Danish Support to Civil Society. Survey of
hich description best fits your organisation?
Community-based organisation
Social movement
National NGO
Network
Sub-national NGO
Other
hat was your organisational income in the last financial year (in USD \$)?
0 - \$49,999
\$50,000 - \$99,999
\$100,000 - \$199,999
\$200,000 - \$499,999
More than \$500,000
reness of Danish support
Danish Government (DANIDA) provides funding to Southern civil society organisations (CSOs) through a variety ent funding mechanisms, including Danish NGOs, Danish Embassies, regional programmes, and pooled or donor funding mechanisms. You have been selected for the survey because you receive funding from one of e sources.
Are you aware that you receive support (either directly or indirectly) from the ish Government?
Yes
No
Not sure
reness of Danish support - continued
ease indicate how many years you have been in receipt of funds from the Danish ernment.
Less than 1 year
1 - 3 years
3 - 5 years
Over 5 years
reness of Danish Government's Civil Society Strategy

The Danish Government has a Civil Society Strategy, which aims to a) promote a vibrant, open debate on poverty

NTRAC evaluation of Danish Support to Civil Society: Survey of
reduction and b) promote representative, legitimate and locally-based civil society.
*7. Are you aware of the Danish Government Civil Society Strategy?
C Yes
O No
O Not sure
Awareness of Danish Government's Civil Society Strategy - continued
8. Do you find its strategic objectives of a) promoting a vibrant, open debate on poverty reduction, and b) promoting representative, legitimate and locally based civil society, relevant for the context in your country?
C Yes
O No
C Not sure
Funding from Danish NGOs
The Danish Government (DANIDA) provides funding to Southern civil society organisations (CSOs) through a variety of different funding mechanisms, including Danish NGOs, Danish Embassies, regional programmes, and pooled or multi-donor funding mechanisms.
*9. Do you receive funding from a Danish NGO?
C Yes
C No
Funding from Danish NGOs: Your funding

INTRAC evaluation of Danish Support to Civil Society: Survey of 10. Which Danish NGOs do you receive funding from? Please tick all that apply. ☐ AC Børnehjælp Action Aid Denmark (Mellemfolkeligt Samvirke) ☐ ADRA Danmark Care Denmark (CARE Danmark) Caritas Danmark ☐ Danish Family Planning Association (Sex & Samfund) Danish Mission Council (Dansk Missionsråds Udviklingsafdeling) Danish Red Cross (Dansk Røde Kors) DanChurchAid (Folkekirkens Nødhjælp) Danmission Disabled People's Organisation (DPOD) (Danske Handicaporganisationer) IBIS Save the Children Denmark (Red Barnet) Sustainable Energy (Vedvarende Energi) Trade Unions in Developing Countries (Ulandssekretariatet) World Forests (Verdens Skove) WWF Denmark (Verdensnaturfonden) Other Danish NGO (Please specify) 11. Approximately what proportion of your organisation's annual income in the last financial year comes from Danish NGOs? C Less than 25% C 25 - 50% 0 50 - 75% 75 - 100% 12. Is the funding you receive from Danish NGOs restricted to project/programme funding or is it an unrestricted organisational grant? O It is restricted to project/programme funding C It is an unrestricted organisational grant **Funding from Danish NGOs: Capacity building**

0 4. To what extent			3	4		5
1 To what autaint	0		0	0		0
4. To what extent uild its capacity in egative (e.g. "not s	the follow	ing areas?	Please rate	on a scale of	1 to 5 whe	re 1 is
egative (eigi liot t	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
nternal systems e.g. inance, HR	0	0	O	О	O	O
eadership and governance	O	0	0	O	0	0
Monitoring and evaluation of programmes	0	0	0	O	0	0
Development of nechanisms to support necountability to poor and excluded groups	0	O	O	О	O	0
echnical skills and expertise	O	0	0	O	O	O
Organisational sustainability	O	O	0	O	O	O
earning and sharing with	0	O	0	O	0	0
unding from Dan 5. To what extent apacity to engage cale of 1 to 5 when	has the fu in advoca e 1 is nega	nding from cy and influ	Danish NGC ence policy	and practice	e? Please r	ate on a
MONI CIPMENTINA 771			3	4		5
very supportive").	2					

egative (e.g. "not s		_		4		-
ncreased engagement vith and influence on ocal government	1 ©	2 ©	3 ⊙	0	5 ©	N/A ©
ncreased profile and nfluence at a national evel	0	0	0	0	O	0
ncreased support for action by poor and excluded groups	0	0	0	0	O	0
Raising awareness of an ssue	0	0	O	0	O	O
unding from Dan	ish NGO:	s: Networl	ks and Alli	ances		
7. To what extent	has fundir	ng from Dan	ish NGOs si	upported vo	ur organisa	tion's
		•			•	
anacity to narticin	ata in allia	incoe and n				
	not suppor		and 5 is pos			tive").
is negative (e.g. "r	ot suppor		and 5 is pos	sitive (e.g. "v		tive").
is negative (e.g. "r	not suppor 2 O has fundir	tive at all") ng from Dan	and 5 is pos	upported yo	very support	tive"). ⁵ ^c tion to
is negative (e.g. "r 7 8. To what extent mprove its ability to	not suppor 2 C has fundir p participa nle of 1 to 9	rtive at all") ng from Dan nte in the fol 5 where 1 is	and 5 is pos	upported you	very support ur organisat ss and allian	tive"). 5 C tion to
is negative (e.g. "r 6 8. To what extent mprove its ability to	not suppor 2 C has fundir p participa nle of 1 to 9	rtive at all") ng from Dan nte in the fol 5 where 1 is	and 5 is pos	upported you	very support ur organisat ss and allian	tive"). 5 C tion to
is negative (e.g. "r 1 8. To what extent mprove its ability to lease rate on a sca ositive (e.g. "very s	not suppor 2 has fundir participa ale of 1 to s	etive at all") Ing from Dan Inte in the following the second in the se	and 5 is pos ish NGOs so llowing type negative (e	upported yous of network	very support ur organisat as and allian portive at al	tive"). 5 C tion to aces? I") and 5 i
is negative (e.g. "r 1 C 8. To what extent improve its ability to please rate on a scale of the second cositive (e.g. "very second cositive").	has fundir participates ale of 1 to s	ng from Dan nte in the fol 5 where 1 is	and 5 is pos	upported you s of network	very support ur organisat as and allian portive at al	tive"). 5 ction to aces? I") and 5 i
is negative (e.g. "r 1 8. To what extent mprove its ability to Please rate on a sca positive (e.g. "very so Alliances with other CSOs at a local or national level Alliances with other actors a.g. universities, private sector Regional and international	has fundir participa ale of 1 to s supportive	ng from Dan nte in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	and 5 is pos	upported you s of network .g. "not supp	very support ur organisat s and allian portive at al	tive"). 5 C tion to ces? I") and 5 i
Is negative (e.g. "r 1 C 8. To what extent mprove its ability to lease rate on a scale ositive (e.g. "very so at a local or national level Alliances with other actors e.g. universities, private sector Regional and international alliances and networks	has fundir o participa ale of 1 to s supportive	ng from Dan nte in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	and 5 is pos	upported you s of network .g. "not supp	very support ur organisat us and allian cortive at al	tive"). 5 C tion to ces? I") and 5 i
Is negative (e.g. "r 1 C 8. To what extent mprove its ability to lease rate on a scale ositive (e.g. "very so at a local or national level Alliances with other actors e.g. universities, private sector Regional and international alliances and networks	has fundir o participa ale of 1 to s supportive	ng from Dan nte in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	and 5 is pos	upported you s of network .g. "not supp	very support ur organisat us and allian cortive at al	tive"). 5 C tion to ces? I") and 5 i
Is negative (e.g. "r 1 C 8. To what extent mprove its ability to lease rate on a scale ositive (e.g. "very so at a local or national level control of the lease with other actors e.g. universities, private sector Regional and international control of the liliances and networks unding from Dan 9. How satisfied as	has fundir o participa ale of 1 to s supportive	ng from Danate in the following from Danate in the following. See The second of the manage of the m	and 5 is possible is negative (estimated as a second a	upported you s of network g. "not supp 4 O	very support ur organisat us and allian cortive at al	tive"). 5 C tion to ces? I") and 5 i
Is negative (e.g. "r 1 C 8. To what extent mprove its ability to lease rate on a scale ositive (e.g. "very so at a local or national level Alliances with other actors e.g. universities, private sector Regional and international alliances and networks unding from Dan 9. How satisfied aut 1 to 5 where 1 is in	has fundir o participa ale of 1 to s supportive	ng from Danate in the following from Danate in the following. See The second of the manage of the m	and 5 is possible is negative (estimated as a second a	upported you s of network g. "not supp 4 O	very support ur organisat us and allian cortive at al	tive"). 5 C tion to ces? I") and 5 i
·	has fundir o participa ale of 1 to s supportive	ng from Danate in the following from Danate in the following. See The second of the manage of the m	and 5 is possible is negative (estimated as a second a	upported you s of network g. "not supp 4 O	very support ur organisat us and allian cortive at al	tive"). 5 C tion to ces? I") and 5 i

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	N/A
The application process is clear and transparent	0	O	Ō	О	O	0
The funding requirements are easy to meet	O	O	0	0	0	0
The reporting equirements are easy to neet	0	0	0	O	0	O
The funding is flexible budget lines can be adjusted according to needs)	0	O	O	О	O	O
The funding is provided in a timely fashion	O	O	0	O	0	0
We feel able to influence decisions	O	0	O	0	0	0
	o anish Emba	ssies	C	С		С
unding from D The Danish Government	anish Emba ent (DANIDA) prov nanisms, including	vides funding	y to Southern civil so	ociety organ		ough a vari
lease comment unding from D The Danish Government lifferent funding mechnulti-donor funding m k 22. Do you rec Nepal) Yes No	anish Emba ent (DANIDA) prov nanisms, including echanisms.	vides funding J Danish NG	g to Southern civil so Os, Danish Embass	ociety organ sies, regiona	al programmes, and	ough a vari I pooled or
Ilease comment unding from D The Danish Government lifferent funding mechnulti-donor funding m k 22. Do you rec Nepal) Yes	anish Emba ent (DANIDA) prov nanisms, including echanisms.	vides funding p Danish NG from a Da	g to Southern civil so Os, Danish Embass nish Embassy?	ociety organ sies, regiona	al programmes, and	ough a vari I pooled or
Ilease comment unding from D The Danish Government lifferent funding mechnulti-donor funding m k 22. Do you recon Nepal) C Yes C No	anish Emba ent (DANIDA) prov nanisms, including echanisms.	vides funding p Danish NG from a Da	g to Southern civil so Os, Danish Embass nish Embassy?	ociety organ sies, regiona	al programmes, and	ough a vari I pooled or

	s from Da	nish Embas	ssies?				
Less than 25%							
25 - 50%							
50 - 75%							
75 - 100%							
24. Is the funding you receive from Danish Embassies restricted to project/programm unding or is it an unrestricted organisational grant?							
It is restricted to project/p	rogramme fundi	ing					
It is an unrestricted organ	isational grant						
ınding from Dan	ish Emba	assies: Ca	pacity Bui	lding			
apportive at all") a	nd 5 is pos	sitive (e.g. "	very suppor	tive").			
1	2		3	4 O		5	
	் has fundii the follow	ing areas?	ਂ iish Embass Please rate	c ies supporte on a scale o	f 1 to 5 whe	anisation tere 1 is	
© 6. To what extent uild its capacity in	் has fundii the follow	ing areas?	ਂ iish Embass Please rate	c ies supporte on a scale o	f 1 to 5 whe	anisation tere 1 is	
© 6. To what extent uild its capacity in	chas funding the follows upportive	ving areas? at all") and	ish Embass Please rate 5 is positive	ies supporte on a scale o e (e.g. "very	f 1 to 5 who	anisation (ere 1 is	
6. To what extent uild its capacity in egative (e.g. "not s	C has funding the follow supportive	ving areas? at all") and	ish Embass Please rate 5 is positive	ies supporte on a scale o e (e.g. "very	f 1 to 5 who supportive	anisation tere 1 is	
6. To what extent uild its capacity in egative (e.g. "not sternal systems e.g. nance, HR eadership and	has funding the following the	ving areas? at all") and	olish Embass Please rate 5 is positive	ies supporte on a scale o e (e.g. "very	f 1 to 5 who supportive	anisation tere 1 is "). N/A	
6. To what extent uild its capacity in egative (e.g. "not sternal systems e.g. nance, HR eadership and overnance onitoring and evaluation	has funding the following the	ving areas? at all") and	olish Embass Please rate 5 is positive	ies supporte on a scale o e (e.g. "very 4 C	f 1 to 5 who supportive	anisation (ere 1 is	
6. To what extent uild its capacity in egative (e.g. "not stemal systems e.g. nance, HR eadership and overnance conitoring and evaluation programmes evelopment of echanisms that support ecountability to poor and	has funding the following supportive	ving areas? at all") and 2 0	olish Embass Please rate 5 is positive	ies supporte on a scale o e (e.g. "very 6	f 1 to 5 who	anisation (ere 1 is	
6. To what extent uild its capacity in egative (e.g. "not sternal systems e.g. nance, HR eadership and overnance onitoring and evaluation programmes evelopment of echanisms that support ecountability to poor and scluded groups echnical skills and	has funding the following supportive of the following of	ving areas? at all") and 2 0 0	olish Embass Please rate 5 is positive	ies supporte on a scale of e (e.g. "very 6	f 1 to 5 who supportive	anisation (ere 1 is ere).	
6. To what extent uild its capacity in egative (e.g. "not setternal systems e.g. nance, HR eadership and evernance conitoring and evaluation for programmes evelopment of echanisms that support ecountability to poor and excluded groups echnical skills and expertise rganisational	has funding the following supportive of the following of	ving areas? at all") and 2 0 0	olish Embass Please rate 5 is positive 0 0	ies supporte on a scale of e (e.g. "very 4 0	f 1 to 5 who supportive	anisation tere 1 is ""). N/A O O	

/ IAWhat Aviant	has fundii	ng from Dan			: Survey	
7. To what extent because a cale of 1 to 5 where cale of 1 to 5 where	in advoca	cy and influ	ence policy	and practic	e? Please ra	ate on a
very supportive").	e i is nega	ative (e.g. n	ot Supportiv	re at all") an	a ə is positi	ve (e.g.
1	2		3	4		5
O	0		O	0		0
8. To what extent	has fundii	ng from Dan	ish Embass	ies supporte	ed your orga	anisation
nprove its advocac		_				
egative (e.g. "not s	upportive	at all") and	5 is positive	e (e.g. "very	supportive	").
	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
ncreased engagement with and influence on ocal government	0	0	O	O	O	0
ncreased profile and offluence at a national evel	0	O	O	O	O	O
ncreased support for ction by poor and xcluded groups	О	0	0	0	O	О
taising awareness of an	0	O	0	0	O	O
ınding from Dani	ish Emba	assies: Ne	tworks and	d Alliances	5	
9. To what extent apacity to participation is negative (e.g. "n	has fundii ate in allia iot suppor	ng from Dan ances and ne	ish Embass etworks? Ple and 5 is pos	ies supporte ease rate on itive (e.g. "v	ed your orga a scale of 1	to 5 whe tive").
9. To what extent apacity to participa	has fundii ate in allia	ng from Dan ances and ne	ish Embass etworks? Ple	ies supporte ease rate on	ed your orga a scale of 1	to 5 whe
9. To what extent apacity to participalis negative (e.g. "notes") 0. To what extent approve its ability to lease rate on a sca	has fundinate in allianot suppor 2 C has fundination participande of 1 to se	ng from Dan ances and ne rtive at all") ng from Dan ate in the fol 5 where 1 is	ish Embass etworks? Ple and 5 is pos 3 C ish Embass lowing type	ies supporte ease rate on sitive (e.g. "v ^ c ies supporte s of network	ed your orga a scale of 1 very suppor ed your orga as and allian	to 5 whe tive"). 5 C anisation aces?
9. To what extent apacity to participalis negative (e.g. "notes") 0. To what extent approve its ability to	has fundinate in allianot suppor 2 C has funding participante of 1 to supportive	ng from Dan ances and ne rtive at all") ng from Dan ate in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	ish Embass etworks? Pla and 5 is pos o ish Embass lowing type negative (e	ies supporte ease rate on sitive (e.g. "v 6 ies supporte s of network .g. "not supp	ed your orga a scale of 1 very suppor ed your orga s and allian portive at al	to 5 whe tive"). 5 C anisation aces? I") and 5 i
9. To what extent apacity to participalis negative (e.g. "notes") 0. To what extent approve its ability to lease rate on a sca	has fundinate in allianot suppor 2 C has fundination participande of 1 to se	ng from Dan ances and ne rtive at all") ng from Dan ate in the fol 5 where 1 is	ish Embass etworks? Ple and 5 is pos 3 C ish Embass lowing type	ies supporte ease rate on sitive (e.g. "v ^ c ies supporte s of network	ed your orga a scale of 1 very suppor ed your orga as and allian	to 5 whe tive"). 5 C anisation aces?
9. To what extent apacity to participalis negative (e.g. "notes") 0. To what extent approve its ability to lease rate on a scale ositive (e.g. "very solliances with other CSOs	has fundinate in alliant supportions has funding participates supportions	ng from Dan ances and ne rtive at all") ng from Dan ate in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	ish Embass etworks? Ple and 5 is pos	ies supporte ease rate on sitive (e.g. "v 6 ies supporte s of network .g. "not supp	ed your orga a scale of 1 very suppor ed your orga s and allian portive at al	to 5 whe tive"). 5 C anisation aces? I") and 5 i
9. To what extent apacity to participal is negative (e.g. "not apacity to participal is negative (e.g. "not apacity to apacity (e.g. "very solliances with other CSOs to a local or national level alliances with other actors apacity e.g. universities, private	has fundinate in allianot supportions funding participates of 1 to supportive funding	ng from Dan ances and ne rtive at all") ng from Dan ate in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	ish Embass etworks? Ple and 5 is pos	ies supporte ease rate on sitive (e.g. "v o ies supporte s of network .g. "not supp	ed your organs a scale of 1 yery supported your organs and alliant portive at al	to 5 whe tive"). 5 Canisation aces? I") and 5 i

	2		3	4		5
0	O		O	0		0
2. To what extention is funding sour		e or disaç	gree with the fo	ollowing	statements reg	arding
	Strongly disagree	Disagree	disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	N/A
he application process is lear and transparent	O	0	O	0	O	0
he funding requirements re easy to meet	O	O	O	O	0	0
he reporting equirements are easy to neet	О	0	О	0	О	0
the funding is flexible budget lines can be djusted according to eeds)	O	O	0	O	0	0
he funding is provided in timely fashion	O	0	O	0	0	0
Ve feel able to influence ecisions	O	0	0	0	O	0
ctivities by Sout not supportive a					•	ve (e.g.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>			0		0
lease comment						
ease comment						
unding from re	gional prog	rammes	and pooled	tunds 		
	ent (DANIDA) provisanisms, including echanisms.	vides funding p Danish NG0 f rom a reg	to Southern civil so Os, Danish Embass ional programn	ociety organi iles, regiona me (e.g. V	l programmes, and	pooled o

INTRAC evaluation of Danish Support to Civil Society: Survey of

	eive funding from? Tick all that apply.
	HYSAWA (water & sanitation) (Bangladesh)
	Red de Participation y Justicia NGO Civil Society Network (Bolivia)
	Fonds Comun Genre (Burkina Faso)
	PAMAC (HIV/AIDS) (Burkina Faso)
	FONAENF (Alphabetisation) (Burkina Faso)
	Drivers of Accountability Fund (Kenya)
	Rights, Democracy and Inclusion Fund (RDIF) (Nepal)
	Foundation for civil society (Tanzania)
	Tanzania Media Fund (Tanzania)
	Legal Services facility (Tanzania)
	Independent Development Fund (Uganda)
	Democratic Governance Facility (DGF) (Uganda)
	UWASNET (Uganda)
	Strengthening civil society for improved HIV/AIDs and OVC service delivery (Uganda)
	Women in Africa Programme
	Other (please name)
	Approximately what proportion of your organisation's annual income in the last ancial year comes from these sources?
	ancial year comes from these sources?
fina	ancial year comes from these sources? Less than 25%
fina o	Less than 25% 25 - 50%
fina	Less than 25% 25 - 50% 50 - 75%
fina	Less than 25% 25 - 50% 50 - 75% 75 - 100% Is the funding you receive from these sources restricted to project/programme ding or is it an unrestricted organisational grant? It is restricted to project/programme funding It is an unrestricted organisational grant
fina	Less than 25% 25 - 50% 50 - 75% 75 - 100% Is the funding you receive from these sources restricted to project/programme ding or is it an unrestricted organisational grant? It is restricted to project/programme funding
fina	Less than 25% 25 - 50% 50 - 75% 75 - 100% Is the funding you receive from these sources restricted to project/programme ding or is it an unrestricted organisational grant? It is restricted to project/programme funding It is an unrestricted organisational grant

1	e (e.gve ²	ry supporti	۷ e "). 3	4		5
O	0		0	O		0
9. To what extent is capacity in the fo	ollowing a	reas? Pleas	e rate on a s	cale of 1 to 5	where 1 is	
.go. oppo	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
nternal systems e.g. nance, HR	0	0	O	0	O	O
eadership and overnance	O	O	O	0	C	0
Monitoring and evaluation f programmes	О	0	0	0	0	0
Development of nechanisms that support occountability to poor and excluded groups	O	O	О	C	0	O
echnical skills and xpertise	0	0	0	0	О	0
Organisational ustainability	0	O	O	O	0	0
earning and sharing with eers	0	0	0	O	0	0
ınding from regi	onal pro	grammes	and poole	d funds: Ad	lvocacy	
0. To what extent apacity to engage cale of 1 to 5 where	in advoca e 1 is nega ²	cy and influ	ence policy	and practice	? Please ra	ate on a
very supportive").	0					

egative (e.g. "not s	upportive	2	3	4	5	N/A
ncreased engagement vith and influence on ocal government	O	O	O	O	O	0
ncreased profile and nfluence at a national evel	O	O	С	O	C	0
ncreased support for action by poor and excluded groups	0	O	O	O	O	0
Raising awareness of an ssue	O	0	0	0	O	O
unding from regional	onal pro	grammes	and pooled	d funds: Ne	etworks a	nd
2. To what extent I	nas fundir	na from this	SOURCE SUN	norted vour	organisatio	n'e
					•	
apacity to participa	ite in ailla	inces and no	etworks: Pie	ease rate on		
	4	4 4 1188		-4- / //		
		tive at all")	-	itive (e.g. "\	ery suppor	•
1	2	tive at all")	3	4	ery suppor	5
		tive at all")	-	itive (e.g. "\ ⁴	ery suppor	•
1	2	·	3	4		5
o 3. To what extent I	o nas fundir	ng from this	3 C source sup	4 C	organisatio	5 O n to
3. To what extent I	2 C nas fundir participa	ng from this ate in the fol	3 C source sup lowing type	4 C ported your s of network	organisatio ss and allian	5 O n to ces?
3. To what extent Inprove its ability to	2 nas fundir participa le of 1 to	ng from this ate in the fol 5 where 1 is	3 C source sup lowing type	4 C ported your s of network	organisatio ss and allian	5 O n to ces?
3. To what extent Inprove its ability to	2 nas fundir participa le of 1 to s	ng from this ate in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	3 C source sup lowing type	4 C ported your s of network	organisatio ss and allian	o n to ces? l") and 5 i
3. To what extent I mprove its ability to lease rate on a sca ositive (e.g. "very s	2 nas fundir participa le of 1 to	ng from this ate in the fol 5 where 1 is	3 Source supplications to the second	ported your s of network .g. "not sup	organisatio s and allian portive at al	5 O n to ces?
1 3. To what extent I mprove its ability to lease rate on a sca ositive (e.g. "very s	2 nas fundir participa le of 1 to s	ng from this ate in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	source supplements of the supple	ported your s of network .g. "not supp	organisatio s and allian portive at al	n to ces? l") and 5 i
a. To what extent I mprove its ability to lease rate on a sca ositive (e.g. "very state a local or national level Alliances with other actors e.g. universities, private	2 nas fundir participa le of 1 to s	ng from this ate in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	source supplements of the supple	ported your s of network .g. "not supp	organisatio s and allian portive at al	n to ces? l") and 5 i
1 C 3. To what extent I mprove its ability to Please rate on a scan positive (e.g. "very state a local or national level Alliances with other actors e.g. universities, private sector Regional and international	as fundir participa le of 1 to supportive	ng from this ate in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	source supplements of the second seco	ported your s of network g. "not supp	organisations and alliant oortive at al	n to ces? I") and 5 i
3. To what extent I mprove its ability to lease rate on a scanositive (e.g. "very state a local or national level Alliances with other actors e.g. universities, private sector Regional and international alliances and networks	as fundir participale of 1 to supportive	ng from this ate in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	source supplemental supplementa	ported your s of network g. "not supp	organisations and alliant portive at al	n to ces? I") and 5 i
3. To what extent I mprove its ability to lease rate on a scale ositive (e.g. "very state a local or national level calliances with other actors are universities, private sector Regional and international alliances and networks	as fundir participale of 1 to supportive	ng from this ate in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	source supplemental supplementa	ported your s of network g. "not supp	organisations and alliant portive at al	n to ces? I") and 5 i
3. To what extent In the state of the state on a scale of the state on a scale of the state of t	as fundir participale of 1 to supportive	ng from this ate in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	source supplemental supplementa	ported your s of network g. "not supp	organisations and alliant portive at al	n to ces? I") and 5 i
3. To what extent I mprove its ability to lease rate on a sca ositive (e.g. "very state a local or national level alliances with other actors e.g. universities, private sector Regional and international alliances and networks unding from regionarthership	as fundir participale of 1 to supportive	ng from this ate in the fol 5 where 1 is e").	source supplemental supplementa	ported your s of network g. "not supp 4 0 0	organisations and allians portive at al	n to ces? I") and 5 i
3. To what extent I mprove its ability to please rate on a scale ositive (e.g. "very state a local or national level calliances with other actors e.g. universities, private sector Regional and international alliances and networks unding from regionartnership 4. How satisfied ar	as fundir participale of 1 to supportive	ng from this ate in the following from the management of the management of the management from the following from this from the following from	source supplement of the	ported your s of network g. "not supp 4 C C d funds: Ma	organisations and allians ortive at all of the second seco	n to ces? I") and 5 i
1 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	as fundir participale of 1 to supportive	ng from this ate in the following from the management of the management of the management from the following from this from the following from	source supplement of the	ported your s of network g. "not supp 4 C C d funds: Ma	organisations and allians ortive at all of the second seco	n to ces? I") and 5 i
·	as fundir participale of 1 to supportive	ng from this ate in the following from the management of the management of the management from the following from this from the following from	source supplement of the	ported your s of network g. "not supp 4 C C d funds: Ma	organisations and allians ortive at all of the second seco	n to ces? I") and 5 i

	Os? Please r d 5 is positi ^v	rate on a scive (e.g. "ve	adership and cale of 1 to 5 ery supportiv	where 1 is in the second secon	-
The reporting Comeet The reporting Comeet The funding is flexible (budget lines can be adjusted according to needs) The funding is provided in a timely fashion We feel able to influence decisions In the funding is provided in a timely fashion We feel able to influence decisions In the funding is provided in a timely fashion We feel able to influence conditions The funding is provided in a timely fashion We feel able to influence conditions The funding is provided in a timely fashion We feel able to influence conditions The funding is flexible conditio	his funding Ds? Please r d 5 is positive	support learate on a scive (e.g. "ve	adership and cale of 1 to 5 ery supportiv	d ownership where 1 is ive").	of developmenegative (e.g.
requirements are easy to meet The funding is flexible (budget lines can be adjusted according to needs) The funding is provided in a timely fashion We feel able to influence decisions I.6. To what extent does the activities by Southern CSC (not supportive at all") and the control of the	his funding Ds? Please r d 5 is positiv	support learate on a scive (e.g. "ve	adership and cale of 1 to 5 ery supportiv	d ownership where 1 is reve").	of developmenegative (e.g.
(budget lines can be adjusted according to needs) The funding is provided in a timely fashion We feel able to influence decisions 6. To what extent does the activities by Southern CSC not supportive at all") and the control of t	his funding Ds? Please r d 5 is positiv	support learate on a scive (e.g. "ve	adership and cale of 1 to 5 ery supportiv	d ownership i where 1 is ive").	of developmenegative (e.g.
A timely fashion We feel able to influence Codecisions General Experiment Codecisions General Experiment Codecisions Codecisions General Experiment Codecisions Codecisions General Experiment Codecisions Co	his funding a Ds? Please r d 5 is positiv	support learate on a scive (e.g. "ve	adership and cale of 1 to 5 ery supportiv	d ownership i where 1 is i ve").	of developmenegative (e.g.
decisions 6. To what extent does to activities by Southern CSC not supportive at all") and to activities by Southern CSC not supportive at all all and to activities by Southern CSC not supportive at all all all all all all all all all	his funding Os? Please r d 5 is positi ^o	support lear rate on a so ive (e.g. "ve	adership and cale of 1 to 5 ery supportiv	d ownership i where 1 is i ve").	of developments of developments of the second secon
not supportive at all") and verall effectiveness of the control o	Os? Please r d 5 is positi ^v	rate on a scive (e.g. "ve	cale of 1 to 5 ery supportiv	where 1 is in the second secon	negative (e.g.
ntilout Dailish Support.	ey achieven	nent that yo	ou would no	t have been	able to realise
		V			
l8. To what extent has Da egitimate and locally base where 1 is negative (e.g.	ed civil soci	iety in your	country? Pl	ease rate on	a scale of 1 t
0	0	0		0	O
f appropriate, please provide an example	e from your organis	sation.			
	, ,				
9. To what extent has Da poverty reduction? Please and 5 is positive (e.g. " a g	anish suppo e rate on a so	cale of 1 to	-		

INTRAC evaluation of Danish Support to Civil Society: Survey of					
50. The strategic objective of the Danish Government's Civil Society Strategy are to support a) a representative, legitimate and locally-based civil society and b) open and vibrant debate on poverty reduction. Do you have any suggestions about how it might improve the way it works to achieve these strategic objectives better in the future?					
Thank you!					
Thank you for taking the time to participate in this survey.					