



Annex B: Evaluation matrix

Evaluation of the Danish National Action Plans for the Implementation of UN Security Council Resolution 1325 on Women, Peace and Security

Evaluation criteria	Evaluation sub-questions	Methods	Sources
EQ1: What results have been achieved in portfolios related to the NAPs, including: bilateral cooperation and humanitarian action, regional programmes, multilateral cooperation (including UN, NATO and EU), Danish NGO partners, DNP and MoD?			
Effectiveness	1.1 To what extent have NAP portfolio engagements attained their outputs, outcomes and objectives?	Portfolio analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Danish NAPs (2nd and 3rd) • Programme documents • Concept notes • Grant and bilateral agreements • Appraisal reports • Baseline reports • Progress reports • Annual reports • Evaluation reports • Results frameworks • Narrative reports • 2017 NAP status documents
	1.2 What factors facilitated or constrained the achievement of results? (E.g. extent and nature of partnerships, funding modalities, cross-government collaboration, programme management processes)	Desk review, Case studies	
	1.3 To what extent have NAP engagements indicated evidence of WPS/gender mainstreaming, and how is this done (through 1325 or other policies and strategies)?	Desk review, portfolio analysis, Consultations with key informants, Case studies	
Impact	1.4 Were NAP engagements with a particular thematic focus more successful than others, and what accounted for success or non-success?	Portfolio analysis, Case studies	
Relevance/ appropriateness	1.5 To what extent have NAP engagements been in line with the needs of the most vulnerable groups, including women and girls?	Desk review, Portfolio analysis	
	1.6 To what extent were indicators used in the NAPs appropriate for capturing results from NAP actions?	Desk review, Portfolio analysis	
Sustainability	1.7 Which types of engagements have been the most sustainable and why? (Considering thematic focus, lead agency, etc)	Desk review, Portfolio Analysis	
EQ2: Based on EQ1, what are the results of the NAPs against their stated objectives to address and enhance women's full and equal participation, protection of women, transitional justice and mainstreaming of gender equality in humanitarian efforts and international operations?			
Relevance/ appropriateness	2.1 Have the NAPs been a relevant instrument for implementation of Denmark's WPS priorities?	Consultations with key informants, Case studies	Consultations with Danish Embassy staff, Danish focal points (Copenhagen and UN, EU, NATO missions), Civil society (Copenhagen)
Coverage	2.2 To what extent has Denmark balanced different thematic, geographical and types of engagements in order to ensure coverage of 1325 priorities?	Portfolio analysis	Cross-portfolio mapping of thematic areas across analytical classifications

Effectiveness	2.3 To what extent have NAP portfolio engagements contributed to the overall objectives of the NAPs?	Desk review Portfolio analysis	Mapping of portfolio results against objectives stated in NAPs
	2.4 To what extent has Denmark's internal capacity building on 1325 and WPS issues, including in peacekeeping and military engagements, been effective in contributing to the NAP objectives?	Desk review, Case studies, Consultations with key informants	Consultations with Danish Embassy staff, Danish focal points (Copenhagen and UN, EU, NATO missions)
Impact	2.5 To what extent did the NAP drive Denmark's WPS achievements, as compared to WPS achievements driven by other means? What is the value added of the NAP?	Desk review, Consultations with key informants, Case studies	Consultations with Danish Embassy staff, Danish focal points (Copenhagen and UN, EU, NATO missions), Civil society (Copenhagen)
Efficiency	2.6 To what extent has Denmark earmarked budgets for NAP implementation either at the overall NAP level or for specific WPS activities? What has been the driver of earmarking (e.g. PSF, other mechanisms)?	Desk review, Portfolio analysis, Case studies	Consultations with Danish Embassy staff, Danish focal points (Copenhagen), including members of the IMWG
	2.7 To what extent are staffing resources for NAP implementation, monitoring and reporting sufficient for carrying out a WPS agenda?	Consultations with key informants, Case studies	Consultations with Danish Embassy staff, Danish focal points (Copenhagen), including members of the IMWG
EQ3. How have the NAPs been used as a framework for stakeholder cooperation? What has been the added value of this cooperation?			
Relevance	3.1 To what extent was the design of the NAPs relevant to the three NAP signatories?	Consultations with key informants	Consultations with Danish focal points (Copenhagen)
Effectiveness	3.2 To what extent did the NAPs facilitate effective collaboration between the three NAP signatories?	Consultations with key informants	Consultations with Danish focal points (Copenhagen), including members of the IMWG
	3.3. What was the role of the IMWG in NAP implementation, monitoring and reporting, and how effective was it in achieving its objectives?	Desk review, Consultations with key informants	Consultations with members of the IMWG
	3.4 To what extent did Denmark facilitate engagement with civil society in drafting, implementing and monitoring the NAPs, and corresponding NAP activities, and what was the value added of this engagement?	Desk review, Portfolio analysis, Consultations with key informants	Consultations with Danish focal points (Copenhagen), Civil society
EQ4. How has the Danish NAP performed when measured against relevant NAP-benchmarks?			

Relevance	4.1 At the overall NAP level, to what extent are benchmarking themes referenced or linked to clear directives in the third NAP?	Benchmarking analysis	Danish NAPs (2 nd and 3 rd)
	4.2 At the NAP engagement level, to what extent are benchmarking themes referenced or given clear directives in key programming or project documents?	Benchmarking analysis, Desk review,	Concept notes, Programme documents, Country policies
Effectiveness	4.3 How do the Danish NAPs perform alongside the NAPs of like-minded countries when analysing key benchmarks?	Benchmarking analysis	Danish NAPs (2 nd and 3 rd) NAPs and NAP evaluations from like-minded countries
Impact	4.4 What have been the key areas of Danish 'value-add' achieved under the NAPs in comparison to/with reference to other like-minded donors?	Benchmarking analysis, Portfolio analysis, Case studies	Danish NAPs (2 nd and 3 rd) NAPs and NAP evaluations from like-minded countries Documentation and case study evidence

EQ5. Has the NAP been coherent with the overall Danish policy on fragile states, peace and security – as well as the Danish priority with regards to development cooperation and humanitarian assistance? How have the NAPs been aligned with the broader WPS and 2030 agendas emerging during implementation of the two NAPs?

Coherence	5.1 What is the relation of the NAPs to overall Danish priorities and policies on WPS and fragility?	Desk review, Consultations with key informants	Danish policy and strategy documents, Consultations with Danish focal points (Copenhagen)
	5.2 To what extent has Denmark integrated its WPS activities with the 2030 Agenda in general, and SDGs 5 and 16 in particular?	Desk review, Consultations with key informants	Consultations with Danish focal points (Copenhagen and UN, EU, NATO missions)
	5.3 To what extent are the NAPs or a WPS agenda mentioned and reflected in Danish programme and country documents, and policies and strategies?	Desk review	Concept notes, Programme documents, Policies and strategies
Relevance	5.4 To what extent do the NAPs remain relevant to Danish global peace and security priorities in 2019?	Consultations with key informants, desk review	Consultations with members of IMWG, Consultations with Danish focal points (Copenhagen and UN, EU, NATO missions)
Sustainability	5.5 To what extent do stakeholders (NAP signatories, civil society and implementing partners) express ownership of the NAP and the WPS agenda more broadly?	Consultations with key informants, Case studies	Consultations with Danish Embassy staff, Danish focal points (Copenhagen)

			and UN, EU, NATO missions), Implementing partners, Civil society
EQ6. What are the overall lessons learned for the Danish engagement in WPS and fragility? How can these lessons learned be taken forward in the formulation of a new NAP in 2020?			
N/A	6.1 What can be learned about how NAPs in like-minded countries have engaged in WPS and fragility, and how they have responded to an evolving WPS agenda?	Benchmarking analysis	N/A
	6.2 What adjustments and additions should be made to the Danish NAP to address gaps, and make it more relevant for stakeholders, Danish strategic priorities and a global WPS agenda?	All	N/A
	6.3 For which thematic areas of the NAPs has Denmark's leadership and contribution been clearest (i.e. greatest value-add in reference to other partners and actors in WPS)?	All	N/A
	6.4 How relevant are the thematic focuses of the current NAP to Denmark's current and future position in the world?	All	N/A



Social Development Direct

T: +44 (0) 203 948 1985

E: info@sddirect.org.uk

Office 6.10 Tintagel House,
92 Albert Embankment, London, SE1 7TY, UK

www.sddirect.org.uk

