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Land tenure is under transition in Uganda. Policy interventions, population growth, oil discoveries and land acquisitions from national and international investors contribute to this transition. While the perception of tenure security is currently widespread among landholders, this may change. If development cooperation wishes to contribute to maintaining, deepening and widening the widespread perception of tenure security, partial and unsustained interventions should be avoided as they are prone to elite capture and tend to induce, rather than reduce, tenure insecurity.
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Does formalisation of land rights lead to increased tenure security and economic activity?

Formalisation and registration of land and property rights are widely considered to stimulate and sustain economic activity of individuals and businesses through one or more of the following mechanisms:

- by providing tenure security to the land rights holder and thereby encouraging him or her – with or without accessing formal credit – to make long-term investments in land improvements
- by bringing land onto the market so that market forces will work towards gradually allocating land to the most efficient users (seen from an economic point of view)
- by enabling land to be used as collateral for obtaining formal credit and thereby enabling investments, both land and non land related investments.

This DIIS Policy Brief summarises the conclusions and recommendations from a study commissioned to critically examine the extent to which these mechanisms apply equally to different types of economic actors in different parts of Uganda. The study is based upon empirical research undertaken in three different areas of Uganda – Amuru area in Northern Uganda, Masaka area in Central Uganda and Pallisa area in Eastern Uganda – including a questionnaire survey involving 1,200 respondents.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

- adopt an area-based approach when implementing land administration interventions
- abstain from engaging in tenure-related interventions in a partial manner and in ways that are not sustained over time
- support locally accessible land administration and dispute resolution institutions
- facilitate the vertical integration among land administration institutions at multiple levels
- facilitate access to land tenure documentation for all
- explore ways of making land rental agreements more attractive to both landholder and tenant

For an elaboration of these recommendations, please see inside this brief.
Uganda’s new land policy

Uganda stands on the verge of adopting a new land policy. According to the 2011 final draft version of the policy, the goal is “to ensure efficient, equitable and sustainable utilization and management of Uganda’s land and land-based resources for poverty reduction, wealth creation and overall socio-economic development.”

The policy builds on a number of fundamental principles among which are that “land is a natural gift for all citizens of Uganda to hold, own, enjoy, use and develop either individually or in association with others” and that the “management of land resources must contribute to democratic governance, by nurturing institutions and procedures for resolution of land disputes and conflicts.” The policy recognises that ambiguities and discretionary land rights administration have contributed to severe land rights insecurity and even to land grabbing at family level and beyond.

Protection of land rights is a specific objective of the new policy: recognising that “the vast majority of Ugandans may not be able to afford the cost of formally securing land rights under any of the tenure regimes recognised by law, [...] it is, therefore, necessary to put in place a framework that would ensure that land rights held by all Ugandans are fully and effectively enjoyed.” Among other initiatives, such a framework is envisaged to comprise efforts to regulate the cost of land services delivery to a minimum with regard to demarcation and registration to make it affordable; to ensure that delivery of land services is further decentralised to the local authority level; and to ensure that community management structures relating to land under customary tenure are strengthened.

CONCLUSIONS OF THE STUDY

Tenure insecurity is associated with the co-existence of different tenure forms rather than with any tenure form in particular

No particular tenure form has the monopoly of providing tenure security. Rather than an absolute feature derived from any particular tenure form, the level of perceived tenure security is relative. As mutually communicating vessels, the level of perceived tenure security depends upon the extent to which the tenure rights of others holding access to land under similar as well as different tenure forms are seen to be protected. As soon as new tenure forms and new forms of written tenancy documentation emerge, this affects the perception of tenure security of land tenure holders at large even if their specific land tenure form remains unchanged.

Written tenancy documentation is appreciated, but tenancy may be perceived as secure without it

It is hard to come across a person who, given the choice, would opt for not having a title deed endorsing his or her tenure rights to a particular piece of land. Yet, no straightforward and uniform correlation was found between, on the one hand, holding a title or any other form of written tenancy documentation and having it registered with relevant authorities (customary as well as statutory) and, on the other hand, the perception of tenure security.

Context and resource endowments of the individual are important constituents of tenure security

Although land titles and other written tenancy documentation may contribute to bolster the perception of tenure security, they are just one among many elements which in combination shape the perception of tenure security. Among the additional elements are both context and respondent-specific features. The context-specific features include the rules and norms guiding the institutions backing different forms of land tenure and the relative strength of these institutions. The respondent-specific features include the location of residence, household poverty level, ethnicity and sex of respondent, as these characteristics contribute to determining the ease of access to hold land under different tenure forms and the ease of access to mobilise the institutions backing a particular land claim.

Land markets as a double-edged sword

Land markets, including rental markets, have the potential to facilitate access to land for those who due to non-economic factors, such as gender or ethnicity, are excluded from gaining access to land. At the same time, however, land
markets are found to favour the economically resourceful, not only in terms of land access but also of perceived tenure security.

Lack of access to credit in its present forms does not limit productive investments

There is only limited evidence that agricultural and non-agricultural productive investments are hampered due to low levels of tenure security, absence of formally registered tenure documentation and lack of access to credit in its present forms. The absence of the often assumed relationship between perceived tenure security and investments suggests that the reverse relationship also exists, namely that investments are undertaken as part of a strategy towards strengthening land claims and thus tenure security. Productive investments are widespread and the vast majority of these investments have been financed through own labour and savings, rather than through credit.

Credit is used to finance non-productive rather than productive investments

Yet, credit is solicited. Rather than being used to finance productive investments, credit is used to finance non-productive investments like education for children, health expenditures, etc. While by no means being exclusively taken by non-poor respondents, respondents belonging to non-poor households are more likely to take loans than respondents belonging to poorer households.

recommendations

Adopt an area-based approach when implementing land administration interventions

Despite the existence of a common national framework, land tenure, the way it is administered, and who are privileged and unprivileged in terms of secure land tenure vary considerably across Uganda. In order to address area-specific discriminatory practices, whether these are based on gender, ethnicity or socio-economic status, an area-based, i.e. territorial approach should be adopted when implementing interventions to strengthen land administration.

Abstain from engaging in tenure-related interventions in a partial manner and in ways that are not sustained over time

In areas characterised by the co-existence of tenure forms, these tenure forms and the perceived level of tenure security they contribute to inducing, are best conceived as series of mutually communicating vessels: if only institutions backing e.g. individual land claims are supported or if the institutions supported are accessible only to a small segment of the population, such support will contribute to generating insecurity among those who wish to maintain their collective land rights or those who are unable to access the institutions receiving support. Partial interventions may therefore contribute to generating rather than reducing tenure insecurity.

To maintain, deepen and widen tenure security, care should be taken not to intervene in a partial or piecemeal manner by providing capacity that only suffices for responding to the demands from a minority of land holders while leaving the remainder unattended, as this may contribute to increasing the overall level of tenure insecurity prevailing among the population of an area. Examples of such partial interventions could be the provision of support for updating cadastral maps only for individuals already holding registered land titles, while leaving individuals not holding registered land titles unattended. As part of the area-based approach to maintaining, deepening and widening the perception of tenure security, efforts should be
made to strengthen the institutions backing all tenure forms, while at the same time aiming to eliminate discriminatory practices that may be associated with such institutions.

Support locally accessible land administration and dispute resolution institutions

In line with the national efforts to strengthen land administration in Uganda and in recognition of infrastructural conditions and associated traveling times, the sub-county level appears to be a feasible entry point for interventions that aim to support land administration and dispute resolution. With population sizes – at least in the three study areas – rarely exceeding 10,000 inhabitants, with the key role assigned to the sub-county land committees, and by already possessing at least some administrative and legal capacity, the sub-county level holds promise of being reachable from ‘below’ as well as being able to reach out to higher-level institutions such as district and national level institutions. Useful insights may be gained from the considerable – and to a certain extent successful – support for land administration and dispute resolution provided by national and international organisations in northern Uganda.

Facilitate vertical interaction among land administration institutions at multiple levels

While serving as entry points, sub-county level institutions should not be the end target of such efforts. Rather, efforts should be directed at strengthening the vertical integration of sub-county level institutions with, on the one hand, more local institutions such as customary institutions and the community and parish level institutions which de facto play an important role in land administration and dispute settlement, despite so far only having received limited formal recognition, and on the other hand, more remote institutions such as district and national institutions. Also the ability to interact with credit institutions and mediate between credit institutions and e.g. clan or community-level institutions in exploring new ways of facilitating access to credit should be emphasized.

Facilitate access to land tenure documentation for all

Among the obstacles preventing individuals as well as groups from initiating the process of documenting their land tenure are the costs of having land surveyed and the suspicions and disputes – and thus eventually the tenure insecurity – it raises among neighbours. Yet, irrespective of tenure form, cadastral maps are increasingly becoming a powerful instrument to effectively state and defend land claims. Additionally, they are a prerequisite to formally registering land, whether collectively or individually. In line with the national land policy, ways should be explored for making land surveys and the production and registration of cadastral maps accessible not only to individuals but also – and perhaps particularly – to groups of residents and land access holders, while taking care to involve local institutions and making sure that surveys take place in the public sphere. Part of such efforts would consist in making this service more affordable by expanding supply (training, encouraging the establishment of qualified surveyors in rural areas), taking advantage of new technologies which may bring down costs without compromising quality, and perhaps by subsidising demand e.g. through establishing survey funds at sub-county level.

Explore ways of making land rental agreements more attractive to both landholder and tenant

To maintain, deepen and – in this case – widen tenure security ways should be explored of strengthening the level of security associated with private land rental agreements not only for those renting the land, who currently experience high levels of tenure insecurity, but also for those giving out land for rent. Land rentals hold the potential to open the doors to land access for people who may be discriminated against when attempting to access land through other tenure forms. Making land rentals more attractive could include efforts to develop standards for land rental agreements and efforts to strengthen the institutional capacity of third party institutions to mediate upon entering, ending or renewing rental agreements and in cases of disagreements between the parties.
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